# The Single Plan for Student Achievement 

School: William S. Hart High School<br>CDS Code: 19-65136-1933902<br>District: William S. Hart Union High School District<br>Principal: Jason d'Autremont<br>Revision Date: April 4, 2018

The Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a plan of actions to raise the academic performance of all students. California Education Code sections 41507, 41572, and 64001 and the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) require each school to consolidate all school plans for programs funded through the ConApp and ESEA Program Improvement into the SPSA.

For additional information on school programs and how you may become involved locally, please contact the following person:

## Contact Person: Jason d'Autremont

Position: Principal
Phone Number: (661) 259-7575
Address: $\quad 24825$ North Newhall Avenue
Newhall, CA 91321
E-mail Address: jdautremont@hartdistrict.org

The District Governing Board approved this revision of the SPSA on May 2, 2018.

## Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components

## Data Analysis

Please refer to the School and Student Performance Data section where an analysis is provided.

## Surveys

This section provides a description of surveys (i.e., Student, Parent, Teacher) used during the school-year, and a summary of results from the survey(s).

Staff members created surveys that were for students, parents and staff of Hart High School. The links for the student and parent surveys were posted on the front page of our website. Parents were directed to their locations via email and our administration referenced the survey in phone calls home to all Hart families and students were also told in class about the surveys. The staff was given information about the survey in a faculty meeting and also emailed a direct link to the staff survey through their school email system. Once the surveys were completed the Site Council met to go over the findings and discuss an action plan for meeting those perceived needs. Overwhelmingly our stakeholders felt that our students needed more access and opportunity for academic and personal intervention, increased communication, increased opportunities for college and career exploration, access to state of the art technology, access to drop-in tutoring, and increased opportunities for school and student involvement as well as parent involvement.

## Description of Comprehensive Needs Assessment Process

This section provides a description of the process for conducting the Comprehensive Needs Assessment of the entire school, and summary of findings of the needs assessment.

Besides the three surveys listed above, student data was also analyzed by staff to better understand the needs of the school. We were also fortunate to have current analysis data from a WASC midterm visit that is taking place in the spring semester of 2018 at Hart. Once all data was reviewed, the Site Council met to go over the findings and discuss an action plan for meeting those perceived needs. Overwhelmingly our community felt that our students needed more access and opportunity for academic and personal intervention, increased communication, increased opportunities for college and career exploration, access to state of the art technology, access to drop-in tutoring, and increased opportunities for school and student involvement as well as parent involvement.

## Information on Academic Achievement

This section provides a description of the information on academic achievement, particularly of students failing or at-risk of failing to meet academic standards.

Math is a critical needs area. During the 2016 CAASPP administration $49 \%$ of students scored proficient or higher in the mathematical section. That number fell to $41 \%$ during the 2017 CAASPP testing. Our math department has created a plan to increase student engagement through a change in curriculum and differentiated instruction. The math department would also like to increase access to AP level math programs for all our students and assist all of our students by expanding their intervention and tutoring offerings. Language Arts also experienced a drop on proficiency levels last year from $82 \%$ to $79 \%$. The English department's response to this drop has been to adopt new Common Core aligned textbooks. They plan to have students read and write more in class and at home, with a larger emphasis on informational texts. Our students also have a critical need to access technology and technology skills here at Hart. With around $40 \%$ of our students living in lower socioeconomic households they are not able to attain the 21st century skills they need at home. Most of our lower socioeconomic students can only access the internet on their phones or at a public library. This makes creating multimedia presentations and submitting assignments through the internet difficult or impossible for them. It is vital that they have access to cutting edge technology skills and equipment at school. They need to have these skills to apply to college and compete in an increasingly technological workplace.

## Impact of Instructional Program

This section provides a description of the impact of the instructional program on student achievement, and other factors determined by the LEA/School.

Students living in poverty come to school every day at a disadvantage. They do not have the same access to food, healthcare, shelter, technology, and other basic necessities. Consequently, their academic success is hindered in many cases by circumstances beyond their control. At Hart we see this difference in our students in the form of technology access and skills, athletic and performing arts participation and a gap in CAASPP scores in both math and English Language Arts. Our goal at Hart is to minimize these gaps through the use of our Title 1 funds. Our plan seeks to increase access to up to date technology in our math and English classrooms to support our textbooks' focus inquiry-based learning and collaborative learning. We also will facilitate small group learning through the addition of instructional assistants in the math and English classrooms of our most fragile learners. Part of our plan will also increase parent involvement by increasing communication and access. Educational research has shown all of these aforementioned strategies to be highly effective in increasing student achievement in secondary settings.

## Annual Evaluation of Prior Year Plan

This section provides a description of the results of the annual evaluation of the prior year's plan.

## N/A

## School and Student Performance Data

## CAASPP Results (All Students)

English Language Arts/Literacy

| Overall Participation for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \# of Students Enrolled |  |  | \# of Students Tested |  |  | \# of Students with Scores |  |  | \% of Enrolled Students Tested |  |  |
|  | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 |
| Grade 11 | 555 | 530 | 571 | 542 | 513 | 552 | 516 | 510 | 552 | 97.7 | 96.4 | 96.7 |
| All Grades | 555 | 530 | 571 | 542 | 513 | 552 | 516 | 510 | 552 | 97.7 | 96.4 | 96.7 |

* The "\% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

| Overall Achievement for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Mean Scale Score |  |  | \% Standard Exceeded |  |  | \% Standard Met |  |  | \% Standard Nearly Met |  |  | \% Standard Not Met |  |  |
|  | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 |
| Grade 11 | 2651.3 | 2657.5 | 2653.2 | 37 | 44 | 42.21 | 40 | 38 | 37.14 | 14 | 12 | 13.77 | 4 | 6 | 6.88 |
| All Grades | N/A | N/A | N/A | 37 | 44 | 42.21 | 40 | 38 | 37.14 | 14 | 12 | 13.77 | 4 | 6 | 6.88 |


| $\begin{gathered}\text { Reading }\end{gathered}$Demonstrating understanding of literary and non-fictional texts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 |
| Grade 11 | 46 | 45 | 46.82 | 47 | 49 | 43.74 | 7 | 7 | 9.44 |
| All Grades | 46 | 45 | 46.82 | 47 | 49 | 43.74 | 7 | 7 | 9.44 |


| Writing <br> Producing clear and purposeful writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 |
| Grade 11 | 50 | 56 | 57.71 | 43 | 38 | 34.66 | 5 | 7 | 7.62 |
| All Grades | 50 | 56 | 57.71 | 43 | 38 | 34.66 | 5 | 7 | 7.62 |

## Listening

| Listening <br> Demonstrating effective communication skills |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 |
| Grade 11 | 31 | 31 | 34.85 | 61 | 61 | 59.53 | 6 | 8 | 5.63 |
| All Grades | 31 | 31 | 34.85 | 61 | 61 | 59.53 | 6 | 8 | 5.63 |


| Research/Inquiry <br> Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 |
| Grade 11 | 48 | 55 | 54.26 | 44 | 40 | 38.84 | 3 | 5 | 6.90 |
| All Grades | 48 | 55 | 54.26 | 44 | 40 | 38.84 | 3 | 5 | 6.90 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. The overall achievement scores of students at the "standard exceeded" performance band has risen and fallen over the course of the three years reported, with last year's number being higher than it was two years prior with meeting "standard exceeded".
2. The percentages of students at the "standard met" performance band decreased, while the percentage of students at the "standard nearly met" went down and then up, yet the "standard not met" levels increased.
3. The highest percentage of students in the "below standard" fall within the reading component (at $9.44 \%$ ), while the highest percentage of students "above standard" fall within the writing component of the CAASPP (at 57.71\%).

## School and Student Performance Data

## CAASPP Results (All Students)

## Mathematics

| Overall Participation for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \# of Students Enrolled |  |  | \# of Students Tested |  |  | \# of Students with Scores |  |  | \% of Enrolled Students Tested |  |  |
|  | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 |
| Grade 11 | 555 | 530 | 571 | 521 | 513 | 546 | 506 | 512 | 545 | 93.9 | 96.8 | 95.6 |
| All Grades | 555 | 530 | 571 | 521 | 513 | 546 | 506 | 512 | 545 | 93.9 | 96.8 | 95.6 |

* The "\% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

| Overall Achievement for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Mean Scale Score |  |  | \% Standard Exceeded |  |  | \% Standard Met |  |  | \% Standard Nearly Met |  |  | \% Standard Not Met |  |  |
|  | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 |
| Grade 11 | 2625.7 | 2613.7 | 2608.4 | 19 | 16 | 17.25 | 31 | 33 | 24.22 | 28 | 25 | 31.01 | 20 | 26 | 27.52 |
| All Grades | N/A | N/A | N/A | 19 | 16 | 17.25 | 31 | 33 | 24.22 | 28 | 25 | 31.01 | 20 | 26 | 27.52 |


| Concepts \& Procedures <br> Applying mathematical concepts and procedures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 |
| Grade 11 | 29 | 32 | 30.20 | 46 | 37 | 34.62 | 24 | 30 | 35.17 |
| All Grades | 29 | 32 | 30.20 | 46 | 37 | 34.62 | 24 | 30 | 35.17 |

Problem Solving \& Modeling/Data Analysis
Using appropriate tools and strategies to solve real world and mathematical problems

| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 |
| Grade 11 | 25 | 20 | 20.07 | 56 | 60 | 52.49 | 19 | 20 | 27.44 |
| All Grades | 25 | 20 | 20.07 | 56 | 60 | 52.49 | 19 | 20 | 27.44 |

Communicating Reasoning
Demonstrating ability to support mathematical conclusions

| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 |
| Grade 11 | 25 | 22 | 24.40 | 58 | 59 | 60.37 | 16 | 19 | 15.23 |
| All Grades | 25 | 22 | 24.40 | 58 | 59 | 60.37 | 16 | 19 | 15.23 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. The majority of the students tested last year fall within the "standard nearly met" and "standard not met" band, at 58.53\% combined percentage.
2. Student scores have fallen over the course of the last three years in mathematics.
3. The math concept with the highest percentage of students falling in the "below standard" performance band was in Concepts and Procedures, with $35.17 \%$ of students "below standard".

## School and Student Performance Data

## CELDT (Annual Assessment) Results

| Grade | Percent of Students by Proficiency Level on CELDT Annual Assessment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Advanced |  |  | Early Advanced |  |  | Intermediate |  |  | Early Intermediate |  |  | Beginning |  |  |
|  | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 |
| 9 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 44 | 42 | 51 | 29 | 30 | 24 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 4 |
| 10 | 22 | 11 | 16 | 49 | 43 | 48 | 11 | 26 | 23 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 8 | 6 | 11 |
| 11 | 24 | 31 | 27 | 42 | 41 | 38 | 24 | 12 | 24 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 10 | 5 |
| 12 | 28 | 35 | 35 | 37 | 33 | 35 | 21 | 22 | 10 | 12 | 11 | 14 | 2 |  | 6 |
| Total | 22 | 22 | 22 | 44 | 41 | 44 | 21 | 23 | 21 | 9 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 6 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Students in the Advanced or Early Advanced proficiency level was at $66 \%$ in the $16-17$ school year, which is a $3 \%$ increase from the 15-16 school year.
2. Fewer percentage of students are at the Early Intermediate or Beginning level in the 16-17 school year, in comparison to the 15-16 school year.
3. Overall, the smallest percentage of students fall within the Beginning proficiency level over the past three years.

## School and Student Performance Data

## CELDT (All Assessment) Results

| Grade | Percent of Students by Proficiency Level on CELDT All Assessments (Initial and Annual Combined) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Advanced |  |  | Early Advanced |  |  | Intermediate |  |  | Early Intermediate |  |  | Beginning |  |  |
|  | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 |
| 9 | 17 | 15 | 13 | 37 | 38 | 43 | 27 | 27 | 21 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 11 | 13 | 16 |
| 10 | 20 | 12 | 15 | 44 | 40 | 46 | 9 | 25 | 22 | 13 | 15 | 3 | 14 | 8 | 14 |
| 11 | 26 | 29 | 26 | 40 | 40 | 38 | 24 | 13 | 25 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 12 | 5 |
| 12 | 27 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 32 | 35 | 23 | 21 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 15 | 2 | 2 | 6 |
| Total | 21 | 20 | 21 | 40 | 38 | 41 | 20 | 22 | 20 | 10 | 10 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 11 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. The percentage of students in the Early Intermediate and Beginning proficiency levels has stayed relatively consistent over the three years of reporting.
2. The percentage of students in the Early Advanced proficiency level has increased by $1 \%$ over two years.
3. The highest percentage of students fall within the Early Advanced proficiency level over the three years reported.

## School and Student Performance Data

Student Enrollment by Group

| Student Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Percent of Enrollment |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian | $0.2 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | 5 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| African American | $1.8 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | 42 | 39 | 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asian | $3.4 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | 79 | 80 | 63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Filipino | $1.6 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | 38 | 45 | 54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic/Latino | $42.4 \%$ | $44.2 \%$ | $47.6 \%$ | 985 | 1,035 | 1,095 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pacific Islander | $0.2 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | 4 | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | $47.5 \%$ | $45.3 \%$ | $41.7 \%$ | 1,105 | 1,061 | 960 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multiple/No Response | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade |  | Student Enrollment by Grade Level |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Students |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ |  |
| Kindergarten | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Grade 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Grade 2 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Grade3 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Grade 4 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Grade 5 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Grade 6 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Grade 7 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Grade 8 | 0 | 604 |  |  |
| Grade 9 | 629 | 616 | 598 |  |
| Grade 10 | 597 | 545 | 590 |  |
| Grade 11 | 567 | 534 | 503 |  |
| Grade 12 | 490 | $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2 3 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |

## School and Student Performance Data

## Equity Report

The Equity Report shows the performance levels for all students on the state indicators. It also shows the total number of student groups that received a performance level for each indicator and how many of those student groups are in the two lowest performance levels (Red/Orange). The total number of student groups may vary due to the number of grade levels included within each indicator.

| Fall 2017 Equity Report |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Indicators | All Students Performance | Total Student Groups | Student Groups in Red/Orange |
| Chronic Absenteeism | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Suspension Rate (K-12) | ( | 9 | 5 |
| English Learner Progress (1-12) | $\theta$ | 1 | 0 |
| Graduation Rate (9-12) | $\theta$ | 5 | 2 |
| College/Career (9-12) | N/A | N/A | N/A |

 calculate status and change. An N/A means that data is not currently available.

## Performance Levels:

 Blue (Highest Performance)

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. An orange performance level in Suspension Rate indicates a need to review subgroups in this category as well as the increase or decrease from the previous reporting year.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Status and Change Report

## Suspension Rate

The status and change report provides the performance level for all students on state indicators. It also shows how the current year (status) compares to prior years (change) for each state indicator. Status and change each have five possible levels, which are displayed with the data for each indicator.

| Fall 2017 Suspension Rate Status and Change Report |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Indicators | Student Performance | Number of Students | Status | Change |  |
| Suspension Rate |  |  | 2,415 | Medium <br> $1.7 \%$ |  |

This report shows the performance levels for a single state indicator, Suspension Rate, for all student groups. It also shows how the current year (status) compares to prior years (change) for each state indicator. Status and change each have five possible levels, which are displayed with the data for each indicator.

| Fall 2017 Suspension Rate Report |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Student Performance | Number of Students | Status | Change |
| All Students | $\theta$ | 2,415 | Medium $1.7 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Increased } \\ & +0.7 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| English Learners | $\theta$ | 333 | Medium $3.3 \%$ | Increased +1.3\% |
| Foster Youth |  | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { High } \\ & 6.3 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Increased } \\ & +1 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Homeless |  | 20 | Very Low 0\% |  |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | $\theta$ | 872 | Medium 3.1\% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Increased } \\ & +1.3 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $\theta$ | 268 | Medium $3.4 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Increased } \\ & +1.1 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| African American |  | 45 | Very Low 0\% | Declined Significantly $-2.4 \%$ |
| American Indian |  | 4 | * | * |


| Asian |  | 66 | Very Low <br> $0 \%$ | Maintained <br> $0 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Filipino | 56 | Medium <br> $1.8 \%$ | Increased <br> $+1.8 \%$ |  |
| Hispanic | 1,153 | $2.5 \%$ |  |  |

An asterisk (*) shows that the student group has fewer than 11 students and is not reported for privacy reasons. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year used to calculate status and change. An N/A means that data is not currently available.

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Certain subgroups of students show an increase in the percentage number of suspensions from the previous reporting period.
2. Suspension rate can be positively influenced by school culture, indicating a need for us to review possible ways to improve overall climate, school connectness, and student behavior through positive behavior interventions and relationship-building.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Status and Change Report

## English Learner Progress

This report shows the performance levels for a single state indicator, English Learner Progress Indicator, for all student groups. It also shows how the current year (status) compares to prior years (change) for each state indicator. Status and change each have five possible levels, which are displayed with the data for each indicator.

| Fall 2017 English Learner Progress Status and Change Report |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Indicators | Student Performance | Number of Students | Status | Change |  |
| English Learner |  | 320 | Very High | Increased |  |

This report shows the performance levels for English Learners on all state indicators. It also shows how the current year (status) compares to prior years (change) for each state indicator. Status and change each have five possible levels, which are displayed with the data for each indicator.

| Fall 2017 English Learner Progress Report |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Indicators | Students Performance | Status | Change |
| Chronic Absenteeism | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Suspension Rate (K-12) | $\theta$ | Medium 3.3\% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Increased } \\ +1.3 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| English Learner Progress (1-12) |  | Very High 89.1\% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Increased } \\ & +6.8 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Graduation Rate (9-12) | $\theta$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Low } \\ 83.6 \% \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Declined } \\ -2.4 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| College/Career (9-12) | N/A | $\begin{gathered} \text { Low } \\ 25.5 \% \end{gathered}$ | N/A |

An asterisk (*) shows that the student group has fewer than 11 students and is not reported for privacy reasons. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year used to calculate status and change. An N/A means that data is not currently available.

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. English Learner progress is commendable, with a high blue performance level at $89.1 \%$ with a significant increase of $+6.8 \%$ from the previous year.
2. Suspension Rate and Graduation Rate are areas of concern and focus for improvement.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Status and Change Report

## Graduation Rate

This report shows the performance level, or colors, for each student group on the Graduation Rate Indicator. It also shows status (current year data) and change (prior year data).

| Fall 2017 Graduation Rate Status and Change Report |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Indicators | Student Performance | Number of Students | Status | Change |  |
| Graduation Rate (9-12) |  | 546 | High <br> $94.9 \% \%$ | Maintained <br> $+0.5 \%$ |  |

This report shows the performance level, or colors, for each student group on the Graduation Rate Indicator. It also shows status (current year data) and change (prior year data).

| Fall 2017 Graduation Rate Report |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Student Performance | Number of Students | Status | Change |
| All Students | $\theta$ | 546 | $\begin{gathered} \text { High } \\ 94.9 \% \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Maintained } \\ +0.5 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| English Learners | $\theta$ | 61 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Low } \\ 83.6 \% \% \end{gathered}$ | Declined -2.4\% |
| Foster Youth |  | 3 | * | * |
| Homeless |  | 38 | Medium <br> 89.5\%\% | Declined Significantly $-6.8 \%$ |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | $\theta$ | 224 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { High } \\ & 91.1 \% \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Maintained } \\ -0.1 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Students with Disabilities | $B$ | 72 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Very Low } \\ & \text { 66.7\%\% } \end{aligned}$ | Declined Significantly -17.4\% |
| African American |  | 8 | * | * |
| American Indian |  | 0 | * | * |
| Asian |  | 27 | Very High 96.3\%\% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Maintained } \\ -0.6 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Filipino |  | 8 | * | * |
| Hispanic | $\theta$ | 208 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { High } \\ & 93.3 \% \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Increased } \\ & +1.2 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Pacific Islander |  | 0 | * | * |
| Two or More Races |  | 17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { High } \\ & 94.1 \% \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Declined Significantly } \\ -5.9 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| White |  | 278 | Very High 96.4\%\% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Increased } \\ & \quad+1 \% \end{aligned}$ |

An asterisk (*) shows that the student group has fewer than 11 students and is not reported for privacy reasons. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year used to calculate status and change. An N/A means that data is not currently available.

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Overall Graduation rate has a high performance rating of green.
2. However, significant subgroups including English Learners and Students with Disabilities have declined significantly in Graduation Rate over the last year.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Status and Change Report

## College/Career

The status and change report provides the performance level for all students on state indicators. It also shows how the current year (status) compares to prior years (change) for each state indicator. Status and change each have five possible levels, which are displayed with the data for each indicator.

| Fall 2017 College/Career Status and Change Report |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Indicators | Student Performance | Number of Students | Status | Change |
| College/Career | N/A | 530 | $\begin{gathered} \text { High } \\ 65.3 \% \end{gathered}$ | N/A |

This report shows the status level for student groups on the College/Career Indicator. A color-coded performance level will be reported for the first time in the fall of 2018.

| Fall 2017 College/Career Report |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Student Performance | Number of Students | Status | Change |
| All Students | N/A | 530 | $\begin{gathered} \text { High } \\ 65.3 \% \end{gathered}$ | N/A |
| English Learners | N/A | 55 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Low } \\ 25.5 \% \end{gathered}$ | N/A |
| Foster Youth | N/A | 3 | * | N/A |
| Homeless | N/A | 37 | Medium 51.4\% | N/A |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | N/A | 215 | Medium 51.6\% | N/A |
| Students with Disabilities | N/A | 56 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Low } \\ 12.5 \% \end{gathered}$ | N/A |
| African American | N/A | 7 | * | N/A |
| Asian | N/A | 26 | Very High 96.2\% | N/A |
| Filipino | N/A | 7 | * | N/A |
| Hispanic | N/A | 201 | Medium 52.7\% | N/A |
| Two or More Races | N/A | 16 | Very High 87.5\% | N/A |
| White | N/A | 273 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Very High } \\ & 70.7 \% \end{aligned}$ | N/A |

An asterisk (*) shows that the student group has fewer than 11 students and is not reported for privacy reasons. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year used to calculate status and change. An N/A means that data is not currently available.

| Assessment Performance Results |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Students | Status | Change |  |
| English Language Arts (Grade 11) | 549 | 70.4 points above level 3 | -5 points |
| Mathematics (Grade 11) | 543 | 20 points below level 3 | -6.2 points |
| The Single Plan for Student Achievement | 16 of 49 | $4 / 24 / 18$ |  |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. There is no prior data to form a comparison for College / Career Readiness. Therefore, we will study these numbers as baseline data in order to make decisions about preparing more students for college \& careers annually.
2. Students in Grade 11 overall scored 70.4 points above the required level 3 in English performance, decreasing slightly by 5 points from the year before.
3. Students in Grade 11 overall scored 20 points below the required level 3 in mathematics performance, decreasing slightly by 6.2 points from the year before.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Detailed Report

## Academic Performance

|  | English Learner Progress Indicator (Grades K-12) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | 2016 | 2017 |
| English Learners | $82.3 \%$ | $89.1 \%$ |

The percent of English Learners who made progress towards English proficiency.

| College/Career Indicator |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Prepared | Approaching Prepared | Not Prepared |
| Class of 2016 | $65.3 \%$ | $18.1 \%$ | $16.6 \%$ |

For the Fall 2017 Dashboard, the College/Career Indicator (CCI) is reported as Status only. Because it only contains one-year of data, both Change and a performance level (color) will not be calculated or reported. For more information about the CCI, please visit the California Department of Education Web resource page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/cm/.

| Academic Indicators (Grades 3-8) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator | 2016 | 2017 |

## English Language Arts

## Mathematics

|  | Assessment Performance Results for Grade 11 |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| English Language Arts | 75.4 points above level 3 | 70.4 points above level 3 |
| Mathematics | 13.9 points below level 3 | 20 points below level 3 |

An asterisk ( ${ }^{*}$ ) shows that the student group has fewer than 11 students and is not reported for privacy reasons. The performance level (color) is not included when there are fewer than 30 students in any year used to calculate status and change. An N/A means that data is not currently available.

## Performance Levels:



Red (Lowest Performance)


Yellow Green Blue (Highest Performance)

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. English Learner progress improved by $6.8 \%$ from 2016 to 2017.
2. Performance results scores in English for students in Grade 11 overall decreased by 5 points from the year before.
3. Performance results scores in Mathematics for students in Grade 11 overall decreased by 6.1 points from the year before.

## School and Student Performance Data

| Detailed Report |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Conditions and Climate |  |  |
| Suspension |  |  |
| Indicator | 2016 | 2017 |
| Suspension | 1\% (24) | 1.7\% (41) |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Suspension rates increased slightly from 2016 to 2017.

## School and Student Performance Data

| Detailed Report |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academic Engagement |  |  |  |
| Graduation |  |  |  |
| Indicator | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| Graduation | 93.8\% | 96.9\% | 94.9\%\% |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Graduation rates remain relatively consistent over $93 \%$ annually.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Student Group Report

This report shows the performance levels for all students and for each student group on the state indicators.


## Foster Youth

## Homeless

| Socioeconomically |
| :--- |
| Disadvantaged |
| Students with Disabilities |
| African American |

## American Indian

| Asian |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Filipino |  |  |
| Hispanic |  |  |

## Pacific Islander


 calculate status and change. An N/A means that data is not currently available


## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Orange performance levels show that certain subgroups of students show an increase in the percentage number of suspensions from the previous reporting period indicating an area for improvement.
2. The overall green performance level for graduation rate is good. However, significant subgroups including English Learners and Students with Disabilities have declined significantly in graduation rate over the last year indicating an area for focus and added support.

## Planned Improvements in Student Performance

## School Goal \#1

The School Site Council has analyzed the academic performance of all student groups and has considered the effectiveness of key elements of the instructional program for students failing to meet student performance targets. As a result, it has adopted the following school goals, related actions, and expenditures to raise the academic performance of students not yet meeting state standards:

| LCAP Goal : LCAP Goal 1 - Ensure all students have access to highly qualified teachers, school site guidance counselors, Common Core State Standards aligned textbooks and |
| :--- |
| SCHOOL GOAL \#1: |
| Increase the teacher use of the 4C's from the Emerging level to the Proficient level during the 2017-18 school year by calling on teachers with more experience running online |
| Data Used to Form this Goal: |
| Parent surveys, teacher survey, Bright Bytes technology survey |
| Findings from the Analysis of this Data: |
| The surveys revealed that all stakeholders had a desire to increase student access to updated technology, digital tools, and technological skills. |


| Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal | Timeline | Person(s) <br> Responsible | Proposed Expenditure(s) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Description | Type | Funding Source | Amount |


| Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal | Timeline | Person(s) <br> Responsible | Proposed Expenditure(s) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Description | Type | Funding Source | Amount |
| 1.1 Increase student access to technology in the classroom. <br> After reviewing the survey data listed above and the comprehensive needs survey with input from parents, teachers and students, evidence shows that our students need more direct access to technology and digital tools during the school day in order to develop technological skills needed for success in academics, college, and careers. Many of our students do not have access to technology in the home. <br> A study at Stanford University conducted by Professor DarlingHammond found that as school districts around the country consider investments in technology in an effort to improve student outcomes, a new report from the Alliance for Excellent Education and the Stanford Center for Opportunity Policy in Education (SCOPE) finds that technology - when implemented properly - can produce significant gains in student achievement and boost engagement, particularly among students most at risk. The study identifies three important components to successfully using technology with at-risk students: interactive learning, use of technology to explore and create rather than to "drill and kill," and the right blend of teachers and technology. | August 2018 | Principal Assistant Principal over Technology IT Specialist | ChromeBooks (OBJ 4310) <br> 10 X ChromeBook Carts (OBJ 4420) | 4000-4999: Books <br> And Supplies <br> 4000-4999: Books <br> And Supplies | Title I, Part A Allocation <br> Title I, Part A Allocation | $\begin{aligned} & 163812 \\ & 16188 \end{aligned}$ |


| Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal | Timeline | Person(s) Responsible | Proposed Expenditure(s) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Description | Type | Funding Source | Amount |
| Support At-Risk Students' Learning, also identifies significant disparities in technology access and implementation between affluent and low-income schools. First, low income teens and students of color are noticeably less likely to own computers and use the internet than their peers. Because of their students' lack of access, teachers in high-poverty schools were more than twice likely ( 56 percent versus 21 percent) to say that their students' lack of access to technology was a challenge in their classrooms. More dramatically, only 3 percent of teachers in high-poverty schools said that their students have the digital tools necessary to complete homework assignments, compared to 52 percent of teachers in more affluent schools. <br> Secondly, applications of technology in low-income schools typically involves a "drill and kill" approach in which computers take over for teachers and students are presented with information they are expected to memorize and are then tested on with multiple-choice questions. In more affluent schools, however, students tend to be immersed in more interactive environments in which material is customized based on students' learning needs and teachers supplement instruction with technology to explain concepts, coordinate student discussion, and stimulate high-level thinking. |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal | Timeline | Person(s) <br> Responsible | Proposed Expenditure(s) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Description | Type | Funding Source | Amount |
| "When given access to appropriate technology used in thoughtful ways, all students-regardless of their respective backgrounds-can make substantial gains in learning and technological readiness," said Darling-Hammond, the faculty director of SCOPE. "Unfortunately, applications of technology in schools serving the most disadvantaged students are frequently compromised by the same disparities in dollars, teachers, and instructional services that typically plague these schools. These disparities are compounded by the lack of access to technology in these students' homes." |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.2 Purchase a secure browser for ChromeBooks to build an infrastructure of digital or online assessments to better prepare students for the real world. <br> It is common practice to apply for jobs, colleges or take tests on a technological device, therefore to safely and securely have students take tests on ChromeBooks on campus, enabling a secure browser would eliminate the need for scantrons and prepare our students better for the real world. | August 2018 | Principal <br> Assistant Principal over Technology Information Technology Specialist | Site license for 2000- <br> 2500 students, \$2,995 <br> (OBJ 5840) | 5800: <br> Professional/Consulti <br> ng Services And <br> Operating <br> Expenditures | Title I, Part A Allocation | 2995.00 |

## Planned Improvements in Student Performance

## School Goal \#2

The School Site Council has analyzed the academic performance of all student groups and has considered the effectiveness of key elements of the instructional program for students failing to meet student performance targets. As a result, it has adopted the following school goals, related actions, and expenditures to raise the academic performance of students not yet meeting state standards:

| LCAP Goal : LCAP Goal 4-Cultivate a safe and positive school culture that supports all students' personal and academic growth and encourages parent engagement. |
| :--- |
| SCHOOL GOAL \#2: |
| Increase CAASPP ELA scores to 80 points above level 3 during the 2017-18 school year through improved professional development delivery at the site level. Increase CAASPP |
| Data Used to Form this Goal: |
| Parent survey, staff survey, site council |
| Findings from the Analysis of this Data: |
| The Needs Assessment from the Teacher survey in particular indicated a desire to have the Parent Center open more often and with expanded services. Students that come to |


| Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal | Timeline | Person(s) <br> Responsible | Proposed Expenditure(s) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Description | Type | Funding Source | Amount |


| Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal | Timeline | Person(s) Responsible | Proposed Expenditure(s) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Description | Type | Funding Source | Amount |
| 2.1 Create Library Nights where parents and students can come eight weeks each semester to get assistance on homework, study for tests or get further instruction. A parent component would be added by having a counselor available to answer questions and further assist by partnering together for student success. <br> After reviewing the Comprehensive Needs Assessment, and Teacher input in particular, it has been noted that parents and staff indicate a strong desire to have the Parent Center open more often and with expanded services. Students that come to us from low socioeconomic backgrounds have obstacles to their learning that go beyond the academic day. Teachers and parents both indicated that they would like parents and student to have access to a comprehensive resource center to address the multitude of social and physical needs that students experience. <br> The SEDL report, A New Wave of Evidence, fund that students with involved parents, no matter their income or background, are more likely to: Earn higher grades and test scores, and enroll in higher-level programs. Be promoted, pass their classes and earn credits. Attend school regularly. Have better social skills, show improved behavior and adapt well to school. Graduate and go on to post-secondary education. | August 2018 | Principal Assistant Principal over Intervention | Library Night Support (Certificated Staffing) 5 staff x $\$ 34 \times 4$ hrs./week x 16 weeks = \$10,880 (OBJ 1130) <br> Certificated Benefits (20.58\%) <br> Bilingual Support (Classified Staffing) <br> \$22.50 x 4 hrs./week x <br> 16 weeks = \$1440 (OBJ 2130) <br> Classified Benefits (28.2\%) | 1000-1999: <br> Certificated <br> Personnel Salaries <br> 3000-3999: Employee <br> Benefits <br> 2000-2999: Classified <br> Personnel Salaries <br> 3000-3999: Employee Benefits | Title I, Part A - Parent Involvement <br> Title I, Part A - Parent Involvement Title I, Part A - Parent Involvement <br> Title I, Part A - Parent Involvement | 13056.00 <br> 2687.00 <br> 1440.00 <br> 406.00 |

The Single Plan for Student Achievement


| Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal | Timeline | Person(s) Responsible | Proposed Expenditure(s) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Description | Type | Funding Source | Amount |
| 2.3 Create a more inviting flexible learning environment for parents and students. <br> After reviewing the Comprehensive Needs Assessment, and Teacher input in particular, it has been noted that parents and students need a space for Parent meetings, student support after school, and expanded services beyond the regular classroom. Students that come to us from low socioeconomic backgrounds have obstacles to their learning that go beyond the academic day. Many need additional resources, a quiet place to study and receive tutoring, a comprehensive support team, and access to community resources in the form of evening presentations. <br> According to the CDE report on Flexible Learning Environments, schools should facilitate modern learning methods that prepare students for college, careers, and citizenship in the twenty-first century. To optimize twenty-firstcentury teaching methods such as project-based learning and personalized instruction, space should be adaptable to allow multiple learning activities to occur simultaneously. Flexible schools also provide space outside the classroom for collaborative learning. Outside learning allows students to work on community <br> service projects and use community sites, | August 2018 | Principal Librarian | Flexible seating arrangements for library (OBJ 4410 and OBJ 4310) <br> Flip Top Tables for library (OBJ 4410 and OBJ 4310) <br> Snap Stack Chairs for library (OBJ 4410 and OBJ 4310) | 4000-4999: Books <br> And Supplies <br> 4000-4999: Books <br> And Supplies <br> 4000-4999: Books <br> And Supplies | Title I, Part A - Parent Involvement <br> Title I, Part A - Parent Involvement <br> Title I, Part A - Parent Involvement | $2768.00$ <br> 4411.00 $3405.00$ |

The Single Plan for Student Achievement

| Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal | Timeline | Person(s) Responsible | Proposed Expenditure(s) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Description | Type | Funding Source | Amount |
| such as libraries as classrooms. <br> The SEDL report, A New Wave of Evidence, found that students with involved parents, no matter their income or background, are more likely to: Earn higher grades and test scores, and enroll in higher-level programs. Be promoted, pass their classes and earn credits. Attend school regularly. Have better social skills, show improved behavior and adapt well to school. Graduate and go on to post-secondary education. |  |  |  |  |  |  |



The Single Plan for Student Achievement

| Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal | Timeline | Person(s) <br> Responsible | Proposed Expenditure(s) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Description | Type | Funding Source | Amount |
| 2.5 Create time for different content area teachers to go into Designated EL classes to work with students three times a week to improve academic skills. <br> The CA ELD Framework shares vignettes on how the Integrated and Designated teachers collaborate and work closely to ensure the success of their EL students. This collaboration is difficult right now with different prep periods and location of these teachers on campus. Putting these teachers in the ELD classroom with our EL students and the Designated teachers would help facilitate this collaboration. The Designated teacher then could reinforce what the content area teacher is working on with the students by seeing what is being done in this Designated classroom. | August 2018 | Principal Assistant Principals ELD Coordinator | 2 Teachers @ \$34 per hour for three hours per week x 40 weeks. (OBJ 1130) <br> Certificated Benefits @ 20.58\% | ```1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries 3000-3999: Employee Benefits``` | Title I, Part A Allocation <br> Title I, Part A Allocation | $\begin{aligned} & 8160.00 \\ & 1679.00 \end{aligned}$ |

## Planned Improvements in Student Performance

## School Goal \#3

The School Site Council has analyzed the academic performance of all student groups and has considered the effectiveness of key elements of the instructional program for students failing to meet student performance targets. As a result, it has adopted the following school goals, related actions, and expenditures to raise the academic performance of students not yet meeting state standards:

LCAP Goal : LCAP Goal 4 - Cultivate a safe and positive school culture that supports all students' personal and academic growth and encourages parent engagement. SCHOOL GOAL \#3

Use Other Means of Correction and character education to decrease suspension rates by 5\% for all students and subgroups during the 2017-18 school year.
Data Used to Form this Goal:
Parent and student survey, staff survey
Findings from the Analysis of this Data:
Getting more students involved in activities brings them to school more often and allows for better success academically.

| Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal | Timeline | Person(s) <br> Responsible | Proposed Expenditure(s) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Description | Type | Funding Source | Amount |


| Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal | Timeline | Person(s) Responsible | Proposed Expenditure(s) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Description | Type | Funding Source | Amount |
| 3.1 Increase student involvement in the school culture removing financial obstacles to participation. <br> After reviewing the Comprehensive Needs Assessment, and Teacher and Parent input in particular, it has been noted that many students do not feel connected to school if they are not currently involved in a co-curricular program. Students that come to us from low socioeconomic backgrounds have obstacles preventing them from seeing the connection to involvement and participation in student activities with their learning and academic success. Many need support and additional resources to participate and attend activities in order to develop a better sense of belonging and connectedness with school and positive role models. <br> A study by the U. S. Department of Education revealed that students who participate in co-curricular activities are "three times more likely to have athletes a grade point average of 3.0 or better" than students who do not participate in co-curricular activities for performing arts (Stephens \& Schaben, 2002, para. 4). Additionally, in terms of cocurricular or extracurricular activities, analyses revealed that regardless of students' background and prior achievement, various parenting, volunteering, and home learning activities positively influenced student grades (Simon, | August 2018 | Principal ASB Director Assistant Principal over ASB Transportation Dept | Provide ASB Cards to students on NSLP (\$45 x ( $\sim 40 \% 2,300$ students $=$ 920 students)) (OBJ 5810) <br> Provide Transportation for student athletes on NSLP (\$100 x 200 students) (OBJ 5710) <br> Provide Transportation for performing arts students on NSLP (\$100 x 100 students) (OBJ 5710) | 5800: <br> Professional/Consulti <br> ng Services And <br> Operating <br> Expenditures <br> 5700-5799: Transfers <br> Of Direct Costs <br> 5700-5799: Transfers <br> Of Direct Costs | Title I, Part A Allocation <br> Title I, Part A Allocation <br> Title I, Part A Allocation | $41400.00$ $19935.00$ <br> 10000.00 |

The Single Plan for Student Achievement

| Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal | Timeline | Person(s) Responsible | Proposed Expenditure(s) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Description | Type | Funding Source | Amount |
| 2001, para. 1). Numerous studies have examined the factors influencing students' academic achievement, and many activities were found to have a significant influence. <br> According to internal surveys, many students and families indicate lack of transportation as a primary reason they do not participate in cocurricular activities. By providing a means to transport students to events (not required by the district), students from low-income families, and underperforming students, can participate without personal barriers and obstacles related to "just getting there" safely. It has been famously stated that " $80 \%$ of success in life is just showing up." Therefore, our staff, parents, and school site council prioritize transportation as a means for increasing the number of lowachieving students in participation in a wide variety of school activities. |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal | Timeline | Person(s) <br> Responsible | Proposed Expenditure(s) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Description | Type | Funding Source | Amount |
| 3.2 Allow for under-performing students to gain exposure and experiences outside our community through field trips. | August 2018 | Assistant Principal ELD Coordinator | Provide Transportation for field trips. (OBJ 5710) | 5700-5799: Transfers Of Direct Costs | Title I, Part A Allocation | 5000.00 |
| After conducting our school-wide comprehensive needs assessment, evidence shows that we have a significant number of low-income families, homeless students living in double occupancy situations, and foster youth with many inherent barriers to educational success. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| These students also lack rich, rewarding life experiences to help them discover and set goals \& plans for their education, college and/or career paths. Many students often lack older role models to emulate a course for long-term educational and career success. Many also come from families that are not collegeminded, and often have parents whose education level does not go much beyond some experience in high school. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Results of research studies from the University of Arkansas Department of Education shows that taking students on school field trips increases students' critical thinking skills and retain more factual information from what they experience and see in person. (See attached research articles.) The AVID Program nationwide also provides data to support the impact that visiting college campuses can have on giving students first-hand |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The Single Plan for Student Achievement

| Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal | Timeline | Person(s) Responsible | Proposed Expenditure(s) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Description | Type | Funding Source | Amount |
| experience with post-secondary opportunities, goal-setting, and college \& career planning. <br> National experts in education (such as Johana Hayes, Nadia Lopez, Janet Eyler, and Dwight Giles, Jr.) promote the value of real world experiences and service learning, pointing to experiential learning as one of the most valuable ways for students to push past their personal fears, limitations, and inhibitions, and accomplish immeasurable, great things in all areas of life. They also develop a greater understanding of career and college opportunities available to them. <br> Students need to see how big the world is outside their own neighborhood and community. Many of our students never venture further than the surrounding streets between home and school. <br> Because of this, our staff, parents, and school site council agree that all students deserve the opportunity to visit local colleges and universities and/or museums and community events to gain a first-hand look at the opportunities available to them. |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal | Timeline | Person(s) Responsible | Proposed Expenditure(s) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Description | Type | Funding Source | Amount |
| 3.3 Bring on campus mental health providers, speakers, or counselors to work with at-risk, fragile students who are in need of coping strategies. <br> In summarizing the relationship between mental health and academic achievement through studies on the relationship between children's emotional distress and achievement behavior, researchers found that students with frequent feelings of internalized distress (e.g., sadness, anxiety, depression) show diminished academic functioning. Those with externalized distress (e.g., anger, frustration, and fear) exhibit school difficulties including learning delays and poor achievement (Roeser, Eccles, \& Strobel, 1998). | August 2018 | Principal Counselors | Create Assemblies, bring in speakers, provide mental health services. (OBJ 5810) | 5800: <br> Professional/Consulti <br> ng Services And <br> Operating <br> Expenditures | Title I, Part A Allocation | 8000.00 |
| Research on the relationship between emotional dispositions and academic performance provides support for the conclusion that emotional regulation significantly contributes to grade-point averages of students, over and above the contribution made by cognitive abilities (Gumora \& Arsenio, 2002). |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Our staff, parents, and school site council agree that in light of contemporary issues in education related to social-emotional and mental health, a priority should be placed on caring for students displaying at-risk behavior, depressed dispositions, and emotional instability, as well as |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Actions to be Taken to Reach This Goal | Timeline | Person(s) Responsible | Proposed Expenditure(s) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Description | Type | Funding Source | Amount |
| providing students with coping skills and resources for support. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Total Allocations and Expenditures by Funding Source

|  | Total Allocations by Funding Source |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Funding Source | Allocation | Balance (Allocations-Expenditures) |  |
| Title I, Part A - Allocation | 284606 | 0.00 |  |
| Title I, Part A - Parent Involvement | 28723 | 0.00 |  |


| Total Expenditures by Funding Source |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Funding Source | Total Expenditures |  |
| Title I, Part A - Allocation | $284,606.00$ |  |
| Title I, Part A - Parent Involvement | $28,723.00$ |  |

Summary of Expenditures in this Plan
Total Expenditures by Object Type

| Object Type | Total Expenditures |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries | $26,928.00$ |
| 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries | $1,440.00$ |
| 3000-3999: Employee Benefits | $5,947.00$ |
| $4000-4999:$ Books And Supplies | $191,684.00$ |
| 5700-5799: Transfers Of Direct Costs | $34,935.00$ |
| 5800: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating | $52,395.00$ |

## Summary of Expenditures in this Plan

## Total Expenditures by Object Type and Funding Source

| Object Type | Funding Source | Total Expenditures |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries | Title I, Part A - Allocation | $13,872.00$ |
| 3000-3999: Employee Benefits | Title I, Part A - Allocation | $2,854.00$ |
| 4000-4999: Books And Supplies | Title I, Part A - Allocation | $180,550.00$ |
| 5700-5799: Transfers Of Direct Costs | Title I, Part A - Allocation | $34,935.00$ |
| 5800: Professional/Consulting Services | Title I, Part A - Allocation | $52,395.00$ |
| 1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries | Title I, Part A - Parent Involvement | $13,056.00$ |
| 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries | Title I, Part A - Parent Involvement | $1,440.00$ |
| 3000-3999: Employee Benefits | Title I, Part A - Parent Involvement | $3,093.00$ |
| 4000-4999: Books And Supplies | Title I, Part A - Parent Involvement | $11,134.00$ |

Summary of Expenditures in this Plan
Total Expenditures by Goal

| Goal Number | Total Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: |
| Goal 1 | $182,995.00$ |
| Goal 2 | $45,999.00$ |
| Goal 3 | $84,335.00$ |

## School Site Council Membership

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

| Name of Members |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |

The school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

## Recommendations and Assurances

The school site council (SSC) recommends this school plan and Proposed Expenditure(s)s to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

1. The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.
2. The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.
3. The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan (Check those that apply):

| X | English Learner Advisory Committee |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Departmental Advisory Committee (secondary) | Signature |
|  | Other committees established by the school or district (list): | Signature |
|  |  |  |

4. The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.
5. This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.
6. This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on April 12, 2018.

Attested:

Jason d'Autremont

Signature of School Principal
Date

John Ahart
Typed Name of SSC Chairperson
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The school site council (SSC) recommends this school plan and Proposed Expenditure(s)s to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

1. The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.
2. The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.
3. The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan (Check those that apply):
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4. The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.
5. This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.
6. This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on April $12,2018$.

Attested:


SCHOOL SITE COUNCIL MEETING

| School Name: | Hart High School | Date: | 4/12/18 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Purpose: | Title I/School Plan | Room Number: | F45 |



